

Discussion II

I.

1. I don't understand what you mean by that. 2. Could you tell us/say something more about your method? 3. In my opinion, more measurements will be necessary. 4. I'd like to make a few remarks/comments on ... 5. I'm afraid I don't know what partial discharge means. 6. Can you explain this formula (to me)? 7. I'd like to say just a few words. 8. I'd like to make a few remarks/comments on ... 9. Could you explain how you solved that problem? 10. There's no doubt that this is the best solution. 11. Is there time for a few remarks/comments on ...? 12. Would you mind repeating that definition?

II.

1. What do you think of/about the use of wind power in the Czech Republic? 2. What is your opinion/view on nanotechnology? 3. Could you be a little more precise? 4. Could you expand on that?/Could you elaborate on that? 5. Could you explain why you don't want to use this method? 6. What do you mean by that? 7. I'm not quite sure what you mean by the latest materials. 8. Would you repeat what you said about your main problem? 9. Are you quite sure about this procedure? 10. Did you take into account the climatic conditions? 11. Do you know what I mean? 12. I'd like to make a few comments/remarks on your results. 13. In my opinion these deviations are negligible. 14. It seems to me that some of your calculations are not quite accurate. 15. I'm quite sure that we would have arrived at the same results even if we had used another method. 16. There's no doubt that our procedure was right. 17. I assume that you have verified your results. 18. I think you're right. 19. I agree with you in principle, but I believe that some phenomena will have to be examined in more detail. 20. Could you refer me to your other papers?

III. Possible responses

1. Could /Would you tell me what you think about/what's your position on the use of geothermal energy in the Czech Republic? 2. Could/Would you explain/Would you mind explaining how you arrived at these figures? 3. Could you go back to/ Would you mind going back to Table 4? 4. I'm afraid/I'm sorry I don't understand what that means. 5. I'm afraid/I'm sorry I didn't catch what you said about air pollution in Pilsen. 6. I'm afraid I can't agree with you.

IV. Possible responses

1. I'm afraid I can't agree with you. 2. Are you quite sure about your data? 3. I'm afraid that's very unlikely. 4. Would you mind explaining how exactly you arrived at these results? 5. I'm sorry if I'm a little bit slow, but I'm not sure I understand. Does that mean ...?

V. Possible responses

1. What do you think about the future of nuclear power? What's your opinion on the future of nuclear power? 2. Could you explain how you arrived at the figures in Table 1? Would you mind explaining how you arrived at the figures in Table 1? 3. Could you explain the processing of the signals in more detail? Would you care to elaborate on the processing of the signals? 4. What did you mean by that? I am not quite sure I understand what you meant by that. 5. Would you repeat what you said about the new communication system? I'm sorry, I didn't quite catch what you said about the new communication system. 6. Perhaps I misunderstood, but are you quite sure that this projection is realistic? Have you taken into account the climatic conditions in this country? 7. Do you know what I mean? Have I made myself clear?

VI. Possible responses

1. I'd like to comment on what you said about the future of nuclear power. 2. I'm afraid I can't agree with you on that. 3. I believe that many countries will reconsider their position on nuclear power. 4. There's no doubt that Japan will change its energy policy. 5. And it's reasonable to assume that many European countries will do the same. 6. I would, however, be surprised if France followed suit.